



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/322,852	05/28/1999	RICHARD HASHA	305818009.10	8541	
41505 7.	590 04/22/2005		INER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP			CAO, DIEM K		
	PLACE - 46TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
			2194		

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/322,852	HASHA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Diem K Cao	2194		

	Diem K Cao	2194	,
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	a) and the appropriate extension The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be composed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	·	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	·		
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Madia - 28 Apple - 10 - 20 -	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by As to Applicant's arguments regarding Lortz does not do "allowing the client to send property commands to chan providing a property/event notification. Examiner respect under the Response to Arguments section, the Office as object capable of receiving commands and signaling prochanges" is the same as of receiving property/event not passages does not teach the claim limitations. Therefore	escribe providing a property/event age properties of the devices" (col. ctfully disagrees because as set fo ction clearly pointed out that Lortz operty changes" (col. 3, lines 26-3 ification. Furthermore, Applicant for	notification to the dev 4, lines 10-11) is not rth in the pervious Of teaches "device may 0. Thus, "receiving signification of the ailed to response why	vice because equate to fice action, software gnalling property the cited
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:	, ,		1

W

SUE LAO PRIMARY EXAMINER